arXiv:1303.3825v1 [math-ph] 15 Mar 2013

A linearized kinetic problem on the half-line with collision operator from a Bose condensate with excitations.

Leif ARKERYD and Anne NOURI

Mathematical Sciences, 41296 Göteborg, Sweden,
LATP, Aix-Marseille University, France

Abstract. This paper deals with a half-space linearized problem for the distribution function of the excitations in a Bose gas close to equilibrium . Existence and uniqueness of the solution, as well as its asymptotic properties are proven for a given energy flow. The problem differs from the ones for the classical Boltzmann and related equations, where the hydrodynamic mass flow along the half-line is constant. Here it is no more constant. Instead we use the energy flow which is constant, but no more hydrodynamic.

1 Introduction.

We consider a linearized half-line problem related to the kinetic equation for a gas of excitations interacting with a Bose condensate. Below the temperature T_c where Bose-Einstein condensation sets in, the system consists of a condensate and excitations. The condensate is modelled by a Gross-Pitaevskii equation. The excitations are described by a kinetic equation with a source term taking into account their interactions with the condensate, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + p \cdot \nabla_x F = C_{12}(F, n_c). \tag{1.1}$$

With F the distribution function of the excitations, and n_c the density of the condensate, the collision operator in this model is

$$C_{12}(F, n_c)(p) = n_c \int \tilde{\chi} \delta_c \delta_3 \Big((1 + F_1) F_2 F_3 - F_1 (1 + F_2)(1 + F_3) \Big) dp_1 dp_2 dp_3, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\delta_c = \delta(p_1 = p_2 + p_3, p_1^2 = p_2^2 + p_3^2 + n_c), \quad \delta_3 = \delta(p = p_1) - \delta(p = p_2) - \delta(p = p_3),$$

and $\tilde{\chi}$ is the characteristic function of the set of (p, p_1, p_2, p_3) such that for some $\lambda \gg n_c$,

$$|p| < \lambda,\ |p_1| < \lambda,\ |p_2| < \lambda,\ |p_3| < \lambda.$$

For a discussion of this two-component model, we refer to [1] and references therein. The kernel of C_{12} consists of the Planckian distribution functions

$$P_{\alpha,\beta}(p) = \frac{1}{e^{\alpha(p^2 + n_c) + \beta \cdot p} - 1}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha > 0,\ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

---

[1] 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 82C10, 82C22, 82C40.

[2] Key words; low temperature kinetics, Bose condensate, two component model, Milne problem.

This paper considers a linearized half-space problem connected to (1.1). Assuming F near the Planckian equilibrium

$$P_c(p) = \frac{1}{e^{p^2+n_c}-1},$$

write $F = P_c(1+f)$. For simplicity we denote n_c (resp. P_c) by n (resp. P) below. This gives the integrand of the collision operator

$$\begin{aligned}(1+F_1)F_2F_3 - F_1(1+F_2)(1+F_3) = &-(1+P_2+P_3)P_1f_1 + (P_3-P_1)P_2f_2 + (P_2-P_1)P_3f_3\\ &+ P_2P_3f_2f_3 - P_1P_2f_1f_2 - P_1P_3f_1f_3.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $(1+P) = M^{-1}P$, where

$$M(p) = e^{-p^2-n},\ p \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and that $M(p_1) = M(p_2)M(p_3)$ when $p_1^2 = p_2^2 + p_3^2 + n$ holds. It follows that the linearized term in the previous integrand gives the linearized operator

$$L(f) = \frac{n}{P}\int \tilde{\chi}\delta_c\delta_3\Big[-(1+P_2+P_3)P_1f_1 + (P_3-P_1)P_2f_2 + (P_2-P_1)P_3f_3\Big]dp_1dp_2dp_3.$$

We consider a half-line in the x-direction, and functions which are cylindrically symmetric in the p-variable, i.e. functions of p_x and with only radial dependence p_r in the orthogonal direction. This changes the momentum conservation Dirac measure in C_{12} and L to $\delta(p_{1x}-p_{2x}-p_{3x})$.
The Milne problem is

$$p_x\partial_x f = Lf, \quad x > 0,\ p_x \in \mathbb{R},\ p_r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.3}$$

$$f(0,p) = f_0(p), \quad p_x > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where f_0 is given. We will prove in Section 2 that $KerL$ is spanned by $(p^2+n)(1+P)$ and $p_x(1+P)$. For any measurable function $f(x,p)$ such that for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\Big(p \to f(x,p)\Big) \in L^2_{p_r\frac{P}{1+P}(1+|p|)^3}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+),$$

let

$$f(x,p) = a(x)(p^2+n)(1+P) + b(x)p_x(1+P) + w(x,p), \tag{1.5}$$

be its orthogonal decomposition on the kernel of L and its orthogonal complement in $L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$, i.e.

$$\int p_x w(x,p)Pdp = \int (p^2+n)w(x,p)Pdp = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{1.6}$$

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *For any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_0 \in L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, there is a unique solution $f \in D$ to the Milne problem,*

$$p_x \partial_x f = Lf, \quad x > 0,\ p_x \in \mathbb{R},\ p_r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.7}$$

$$f(0,p) = f_0(p), \quad p_x > 0, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\int p_x(p^2+n) f(x,p) P(p) dp = \mathcal{E}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover, for the decomposition (1.5) of the solution, there are $(a_\infty, b_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$b_\infty = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\gamma}, \tag{1.10}$$

and a constant $c > 0$, such that for any $\eta \in]0, c_4[$,

$$\int (1+|p|)^3 w^2(x,p) \frac{P}{1+P} dp + |a(x) - a_\infty|^2 + |b(x) - b_\infty|^2 \leq c e^{-2\eta x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{1.11}$$

Here, D is the function space defined by

$$D = \{f;\ f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)),\ p_x \partial_x f \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^{-3}\frac{P}{1+P}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+))\},$$

$$\gamma = \int p_x^2 (p^2+n) P(1+P) dp, \quad c_3 = \frac{2}{\gamma}\Big(\int p_x^4 P(1+P) dp \int p_x^2 (p^2+n)^2 P(1+P) dp \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$c_4 = min\{\frac{\nu_0}{2}, \frac{\nu_0}{2c_3}\}. \tag{1.12}$$

Remarks.

This result should be compared to the analogous result concerning the Milne problem for the linearized Boltzmann operator around the absolute Maxwellian in [4]. In [4] the mass flow is constant and well-posedness for the Milne problem is proven for a given mass flow. In the present paper on the other hand, the mass flow is no more constant, since mass is mot a hydrodynamic mode. But the energy flow is constant, and well-posedness here is proven for a fixed energy flow. That this energy flow is proportional to the asymptotic limit of the mass flow is a new low temperature result. A separate complication in the present case is that, whereas the given mass flow in [4] is a hydrodynamic component of the solution, this is no more the case for our given energy flow. Another differing aspect compared to classical kinetic theory, is that the collision frequency is asymptotically equivalent to $|p|^3$, when $p \to \infty$.

The interest in half space problems such as (1.7)-(1.8) is partly due to their role in the asymptotic behaviour of the solution of boundary-value problems of kinetic equations for small Knudsen numbers. This subject has received much attention for the Boltzmann equation [12] [14] [15] [16] [17] [2] and related equations [6] [13]. Starting from the stationary Boltzmann equation in a half-space with given indatum and a Maxwellian limit at infinity, the unknown is assumed to stay close to this Maxwellian, giving rise to the linearized stationary Boltzmann equation in a half space. The general treatment of the linearized problem for hard forces and hard spheres under null bulk velocity, is given in [11] and references therein. The case of a gas of hard spheres (resp. of hard or soft forces) and a null bulk velocity at infinity is independently treated in [4] (resp. in [10]). The case of a gas of hard spheres and a nonzero bulk velocity at infinity is considered in [8], positively answering a former conjecture [7]. The Milne problem for the Boltzmann equation with a force term is analyzed in [9]. Half-space problems in a discrete velocity frame are studied in [3]. For a review of mathematical results on the half-space problem for the linear and nonlinear Boltzmann equations, we refer to [5].

The plan of the paper is the following. In Section 2, the linearized collision operator L is studied, including a spectral inequality. In Section 3, Theorem 1.1 is proven.

2 The linearized collision operator.

Lemma 2.1
L is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$. Within the space of rotationally invariant distribution functions, its kernel is the subspace spanned by $(p^2+n)(1+P)$ and $p_x(1+P)$.

Proof. It follows from the equalities

$$P_2(1+P_2)(P_3-P_1) = P_3(1+P_3)(P_2-P_1) = P_1(1+P_2)(1+P_3),$$
$$P_1(1+P_2+P_3) = P_2P_3 = \frac{P_1(1+P_2)(1+P_3)}{1+P_1}, \quad p_1^2 = p_2^2+p_3^2+n,$$

that for any functions f and g in $L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$,

$$\int \frac{P}{1+P}(p)f(p)Lg(p)dp = -\,n\int \tilde{\chi}\delta_c P_1(1+P_2)(1+P_3)$$
$$\Big(\frac{f_1}{1+P_1}-\frac{f_2}{1+P_2}-\frac{f_3}{1+P_3}\Big)\Big(\frac{g_1}{1+P_1}-\frac{g_2}{1+P_2}-\frac{g_3}{1+P_3}\Big)dp_1dp_2dp_3.$$

This proves the self-adjointness of L in $L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$. Moreover, $Lf=0$ for $f\in L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$ implies that

$$\frac{f_1}{1+P_1} = \frac{f_2}{1+P_2}+\frac{f_3}{1+P_3}, \quad p_{1x}=p_{2x}+p_{3x}, \quad p_1^2=p_2^2+p_3^2+n.$$

It is a consequence of this Cauchy equation that the orthogonal functions

$$(p^2+n)(1+P) \text{ and } p_x(1+P) \tag{2.1}$$

span the kernel of L.

∎

The operator L splits into $K-\nu$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Kf(p) := \frac{2n}{P(p)}\Big(&\int \tilde{\chi}\delta(p_x=p_{2x}+p_{3x}, p^2=p_2^2+p_3^2+n)(P_3-P)P_2f_2dp_2dp_3 \\ &+\int \tilde{\chi}\delta(p_{1x}=p_x+p_{3x}, p_1^2=p^2+p_3^2+n)(1+P+P_3)P_1f_1dp_1dp_3 \\ &+\int \tilde{\chi}\delta(p_{1x}=p_x+p_{3x}, p_1^2=p^2+p_3^2+n)(P_1-P)P_3f_3dp_1dp_3\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\nu(p) := n\int \tilde{\chi}\delta(p_x = p_{2x}+p_{3x}, p^2 = p_2^2+p_3^2+n)(1+P_2+P_3)dp_2dp_3$$
$$+2n\int \tilde{\chi}\delta(p_{1x} = p_x+p_{3x}, p_1^2 = p^2+p_3^2+n)(P_3-P_1)dp_1dp_3. \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that $K = K_\lambda$ as well as $\nu = \nu_\lambda$ depend on λ through $\tilde{\chi}$.

Lemma 2.2
K_λ is a compact operator in $L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$. Moreover, there are positive constants ν_0 and ν_1, such that uniformy with respect to λ,

$$\nu_0(1+|p|)^3 \le \nu_\lambda(p) \le \nu_1(1+|p|)^3, \quad p = (p_x, p_r) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+, \quad \text{for} \quad |p| < \lambda. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2.
$K = K_1+K_2+K_3$, where

$$K_1f(p) := 2n\int X_1(p,p_2)P_2f_2dp_2,$$
$$X_1(p,p_2) := \int \tilde{\chi}\,\delta(p_x = p_{2x}+p_{3x}, p^2 = p_2^2+p_3^2+n)\frac{P_3-P}{P}dp_3,$$
$$K_2f(p) := 2n\int X_2(p,p_1)P_1f_1dp_1,$$
$$X_2(p,p_1) := \int \tilde{\chi}\,\delta(p_{1x} = p_x+p_{3x}, p_1^2 = p^2+p_3^2+n)\frac{1+P+P_3}{P}dp_3$$
$$K_3f(p) := 2n\int X_3(p,p_3)P_3f_3dp_3,$$
$$X_3(p,p_3) := \int \tilde{\chi}\,\delta(p_{1x} = p_x+p_{3x}, p_1^2 = p^2+p_3^2+n)\frac{P_1-P}{P}dp_1.$$

Then

$$X_1(p,p_2) = \frac{\pi}{P(p)}(P(\sqrt{p^2-p_2^2-n})-P(p))\chi_{p^2-p_2^2-n\ge 0}\int \tilde{\chi}\chi_{p^2\ge p_2^2+p_{3x}^2+n}\delta(p_x = p_{2x}+p_{3x})dp_{3x}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{P(p)}(\frac{1}{e^{p^2-p_2^2}-1}-P(p))\tilde{\chi}\chi_{2(p_{2x}-\frac{1}{2}p_x)^2+p_{2r}^2\le\frac{1}{2}p_x^2+p_r^2-n},$$
$$X_2(p,p_1) = \frac{\pi}{P(p)}(1+P(p)+\frac{1}{e^{p_1^2-p^2}-1})\tilde{\chi}\chi_{p_1^2-p^2-(p_{1x}-p_x)^2-n>0},$$
$$X_3(p,p_3) = \frac{\pi}{P(p)}(\frac{1}{e^{p^2+p_3^2+2n}-1}-P(p))\tilde{\chi}\chi_{p^2+p_3^2+n-(p_x+p_{3x})^2>0}.$$

We see from the above that K_1 (resp. K_2, K_3) is bounded in $L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$ if and only if λ is finite. When the domain of p is bounded, K_1 is compact as an Hilbert-Schmidt operator. K_2 and K_3 behave similarly, and so K is a compact operator in $L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$.

Since

$$P_3-P_1 = P_1(1+P_3)(e^{p^2+n}-1) \ge P_1n, \quad p_1^2 = p^2+p_3^2+n,$$

the function ν is such that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(p) &\geq 2n^2 \int \chi_{|p_1|<\lambda,|p_3|<\lambda} P_1 \delta(p_{1x} = p_x + p_{3x}, p_1^2 = p^2 + p_3^2 + n) dp_1 dp_3 \\ &= 2\pi n^2 \int_{p_1^2 - p^2 - (p_{1x}-p_x)^2 - n > 0} \chi_{|p_1|<\lambda} P_1 dp_1 \\ &= 2\pi n^2 \int_{p_{1r}^2 + 2p_x p_{1x} > 2p_x^2 + p_r^2 + n} \chi_{|p_1|<\lambda} P_1 dp_1 \\ &\geq 2\pi n^2 \int_{p_x p_{1x} > 0,\ p_{1r}^2 > 201n} \chi_{|p_1|<\lambda} P_1 dp_1, \quad p^2 < 100n.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $\lambda^2 > p^2 > 100n$, the first term of $\frac{\nu(p)}{n}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \chi_{|p_2|<\lambda,|p_3|<\lambda} \delta(p_x = p_{2x} + p_{3x}, p^2 = p_2^2 + p_3^2 + n)(1 + P_2 + P_3) dp_2 dp_3 \\ &\geq 4\pi^2 \int_{|p_{2x}-p_x|<\lambda,\ p_{2r>0},\ |p_2|<\lambda} \Big(\int_0^{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - (p_{2x}-p_x)^2}} \delta(p_{3r}^2 = p_r^2 - p_2^2 - p_{2x}^2 + 2p_x p_{2x} - n) p_{3r} dp_{3r} \Big) p_{2r} dp_{2r} dp_{2x} \\ &= 2\pi^2 \int_{|p_{2x}-p_x|<\lambda,\ p_{2r}>0,\ |p_2|<\lambda,\ 2p_{2x}^2 + p_{2r}^2 - 2p_x p_{2x} < p_r^2 - n} p_{2r} dp_{2r} dp_{2x} \\ &\geq 2\pi^2 \int_{|p_{2x}-p_x|<\lambda,\ p_{2r}>0,\ p_x p_{2x}>0,\ 2p_{2x}^2 + p_{2r}^2 + n < p_r^2 + 2p_x p_{2x},\ n<p_2^2<4n} p_{2r} dp_{2r} dp_{2x} \\ . &\geq 2\pi^2 \int_{p_{2r}>0,\ p_x p_{2x}>0,\ 9n<p_r^2 + 2p_x p_{2x},\ n<p_2^2<4n} p_{2r} dp_{2r} dp_{2x} \\ &\geq 2\pi^2 \int_{p_{2r}>0,\ p_x p_{2x}>0,\ 9n<p_r^2 + 2p_x p_{2x},\ n<p_2^2<4n,\ p_{2x}^2>n} p_{2r} dp_{2r} dp_{2x} \\ &\geq 2\pi^2 \int_{p_{2r}>0,\ p_x p_{2x}>0,\ n<p_2^2<4n,\ p_{2x}^2>n} p_{2r} dp_{2r} dp_{2x}.\end{aligned}$$

And so, ν is bounded from below by a positive constant.

The first term of $\nu(p)$ belongs to the interval with end points

$$2\pi^2 n \chi_{|p|<\lambda} \int_{p_{2r}>0, p_{2r}^2 + 2(p_{2x} - \frac{1}{2}p_x)^2 < \frac{1}{2}p_x^2 + p_r^2 - n, |p_{2x}-p_x|<\lambda, |p_2|<\lambda} p_{2r} dp_{2r} dp_{2x}$$

and

$$2\pi^2 n (1 + \frac{2}{e^n - 1}) \chi_{|p|<\lambda} \int_{p_{2r}>0, p_{2r}^2 + 2(p_{2x} - \frac{1}{2}p_x)^2 < \frac{1}{2}p_x^2 + p_r^2 - n, |p_{2x}-p_x|<\lambda |p_2|<\lambda} p_{2r} dp_{2r} dp_{2x}.$$

Then for $|p| < \lambda$, with the change of variables $(x, y) := (p_{2x}, p_{2r}^2)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\int_{p_{2r}>0, p_{2r}^2+2(p_{2x}-\frac{1}{2}p_x)^2<\frac{1}{2}p_x^2+p_r^2-n, |p_{2x}-p_x|<\lambda, |p_2|<\lambda} p_{2r}dp_{2r}dp_{2x} \\
&= \int_{y>0, y+2(x-\frac{1}{2}p_x)^2<\frac{1}{2}p_x^2+p_r^2-n, |x-p_x|<\lambda, x^2+y<\lambda^2} dxdy \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}p_x^2+p_r^2-n} \int_{(x-\frac{1}{2}p_x)^2<\frac{1}{4}(p_x^2+2p_r^2-2n-2y)} dxdy \\
&= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}p_x^2+p_r^2-n} \sqrt{p_x^2+2p_r^2-2n-2y}dy \\
&= \frac{1}{3}(p_x^2+2p_r^2-2n)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\sim |p|^3.
\end{aligned}
$$

It has here been used that for any positive λ and any $|p| < \lambda$,

$$
y + 2(x - \frac{1}{2}p_x)^2 < \frac{1}{2}p_x^2 + p_r^2 \Rightarrow |x - p_x| < \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 + y < \lambda^2.
$$

The second term of $\nu(p)$ is bounded. Indeed, for $|p| < \lambda$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \int \chi_{|p_1|<\lambda, |p_3|<\lambda} \delta(p_{1x} = p_x + p_{3x}, p_1^2 = p^2 + p_3^2 + n)(P_3 - P_1)dp_1dp_3 \\
&\leq \int_{p_{1r}>0} \chi_{|p_1|<\lambda} (\frac{1}{e^{p_1^2-p^2}-1} - P_1)\Big(\int_0^{+\infty} \delta(p_{3r}^2 = p_{1r}^2 - p^2 - p_x^2 + 2p_xp_{1x} - n)p_{3r}dp_{3r}\Big)p_{1r}dp_{1r}dp_{1x} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\iint_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{e^{x^2+y-p^2}-1}\chi_{y>p^2+p_x^2+n-2xp_x}dydx \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k\geq 1} e^{kp^2}\int e^{-kx^2}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-ky}\chi_{y>p^2+p_x^2+n-2xp_x}dydx \\
&\leq \sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{1}{k}\int e^{-k((x-p_x)^2+n)}dx \\
&= \sqrt{\pi}\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

■

Denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the scalar product in $L^2_{\frac{P}{1+P}}$, and by $\tilde{P}$ the orthogonal projection on the kernel of L.

Lemma 2.3
L satisfies the spectral inequality,

$$
-(Lf, f) \geq \nu_0((1 + |p|)^3(I - \tilde{P})f, (I - \tilde{P})f), \quad f \in L^2_{(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}. \tag{2.5}
$$

Proof. For the compact, selfadjoint operator K, the spectral inequality holds as in the corresponding Boltzmann case. Namely, there is no eigenvalue $\alpha > 1$ for $\frac{K}{\nu}$. Else there is $f \neq 0$ such that $Lf = (\alpha - 1)\nu f$ and so $(Lf, f) > 0$. But

$$
(Lf, f) = -n\int \delta_c(\frac{f_1}{1 + P_1} - \frac{f_2}{1 + P_2} - \frac{f_3}{1 + P_3})^2 dp_1dp_2dp_3 \leq 0.
$$

Except for the kernel of L discussed above, the eigenvalues of $\frac{K}{\nu}$ are bounded from above by $\alpha_0 < 1$. Spanning $L^2_{(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}$ with the eigenfunctions of $\frac{K}{\nu}$, we obtain the spectral inequality

$$(Lf, f) \leq (\alpha_0 - 1)(\nu(I - \tilde{P})f, (I - \tilde{P})f), \quad f \in L^2_{(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}.$$

Finally, (2.5) follows from (2.4).

3 The Milne problem

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1.
Let

$$\tilde{f} = f - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\gamma} p_x(1 + P), \quad \tilde{f}_0(p) = f_0(p) - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\gamma} p_x(1 + P).$$

Solving the Milne problem (1.7)-(1.8)-(1.9) for the unknown f is equivalent to solving

$$p_x \partial_x \tilde{f} = L\tilde{f}, \quad x > 0,\ p_x \in \mathbb{R},\ p_r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\tilde{f}(0, p) = \tilde{f}_0(p), \quad p_x > 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\int p_x(p^2 + n)\tilde{f}(x, p)P(p)dp = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{3.3}$$

for the unknown $\tilde{f}$.

We study the behaviour of the solution $\tilde{f}$ to the Milne problem (3.1)-(3.2)-(3.3) when $x \to +\infty$.
Set

$$\tilde{f}(x, p) = (a(x)(p^2 + n) + \tilde{b}(x)p_x)(1 + P) + w(x, p),$$

with

$$\int p_x wP dp = \int (p^2 + n)wP dp = 0,$$

an orthogonal decomposition of $\tilde{f}$. Denote by

$$W(x) = \frac{1}{2}\int p_x \tilde{f}^2(x, p)\frac{P}{1 + P}dp \tag{3.4}$$

the linearized entropy flux of $\tilde{f}$. It holds that

$$W(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{p_x>0} p_x \tilde{f}_0^2(p)\frac{P}{1 + P}dp. \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\int p_x \tilde{f}^2(x, p)\frac{P}{1 + P}dp - \frac{1}{\gamma}\int p_x^2 \tilde{f}(x, p)P(p)dp \int p_x(p^2 + n)\tilde{f}(x, p)P(p)dp \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int p_x w^2(x, p)\frac{P}{1 + P}dp + a\int p_x(p^2 + n)wP dp + \tilde{b}\int p_x^2 wP dp + a\tilde{b}\gamma \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\gamma}\Big(\int p_x^2 wP dp + a\gamma\Big)\Big(\int p_x(p^2 + n)wP dp + \tilde{b}\gamma\Big), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$W(x)=\frac{1}{2}\int p_x w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp-\frac{1}{\gamma}\int p_x^2 wPdp\int p_x(p^2+n)wPdp. \tag{3.6}$$

This differs from ([BCN]), where the linearized entropy flux of the solution is equal to the linearized entropy flux of its non-hydrodynamic component.The expression (3.6) of W in terms of w is important for the proof.

Multiplying (3.1) by $\tilde{f}\frac{P}{1+P}$, integrating on $(0,X)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+$) and using (2.5), gives

$$W(X)+\nu_0\int_0^X\int(1+|p|)^3w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx\leq W(0),\quad X>0, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$W'(x)+\nu_0\int(1+|p|)^3w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp\leq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\tilde{f}\in D$, it holds that $W\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then by (3.7) and (3.6), $W\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$. By (3.8), it is a non increasing function. Hence it tends to zero when x tends to $+\infty$ and is a nonnegative function. Let $\eta\in]0,c_4[$. Multiply (3.8) by $e^{2\eta x}$, so that

$$(W(x)e^{2\eta x})'-2\eta W(x)e^{2\eta x}+\nu_0 e^{2\eta x}\int(1+|p|)^3w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp\leq 0.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$|\int p_x^2 w(x,p)Pdp\int p_x(p^2+n)w(x,p)Pdp|\leq\frac{\gamma c_3}{2}\int w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp.$$

Hence,

$$(W(x)e^{2\eta x})'+e^{2\eta x}\int\Big(\nu_0(1+|p|)^3-\eta(p_x+c_3)\Big)w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp\leq 0,\quad x\geq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

By the definition (1.12) of c_4, the nonnegativity of W and (3.5), it holds that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2\eta x}\int(1+|p|)^3w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx\leq c, \tag{3.10}$$

for some constant c. Moreover, by (3.9) and (3.8),

$$0\leq W(x)\leq W(0)e^{-2\eta x}\leq ce^{-2\eta x},\quad x\geq 0. \tag{3.11}$$

(3.10) implies that $\tilde{f}(x,\cdot)$ converges to a hydrodynamic state when $x\to+\infty$. In order to prove the exponential pointwise decay of $\int(1+|p|)^3w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp$ in (1.11), let $0<Y<X$ be given and introduce a smooth cutoff function $\Phi(x)$ such that

$$\Phi(x)=0,\ x\in[0,\frac{Y}{2}[\cup]X+1,+\infty[,\quad\Phi(x)=1,\ x\in[Y,X].$$

Denote by $\varphi(x)=e^{\eta x}\Phi(x)$. Then,

$$p_x\partial_x^2(\varphi\tilde{f})=L(\partial_x(\varphi\tilde{f}))+\varphi' Lw+p_x\varphi''\tilde{f}. \tag{3.12}$$

Multiply (3.12) by $\partial_x(\varphi\tilde{f})\frac{P}{1+P}$, integrate over $\mathbb{R}_{p_x}\times\mathbb{R}^+_{p_r}$ and use (2.5). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\int p_x(\partial_x(\varphi\tilde{f}))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dp+\nu_0\int(1+|p|)^3(\partial_x(\varphi w))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dp\\
&\leq\int\partial_x(\varphi\tilde{f})\Big(\varphi' Lw+p_x\varphi''\tilde{f}\Big)\frac{P}{1+P}dp\\
&=\varphi'\int\partial_x(\varphi w)Lw\frac{P}{1+P}dp+\varphi\varphi''\int p_x\tilde{f}\partial_x\tilde{f}\frac{P}{1+P}dp+\varphi'\varphi''\int p_x\tilde{f}^2\frac{P}{1+P}dp,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\int p_x(\partial_x(\varphi\tilde{f}))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dp+\nu_0\int(1+|p|)^3(\partial_x(\varphi w))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dp\\
&\qquad\leq\varphi'\int\partial_x(\varphi w)Lw\frac{P}{1+P}dp+(\varphi\varphi''W)'+(\varphi'\varphi''-\varphi\varphi^{(3)})W.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrate the last inequality on $[0,+\infty[$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu_0\int_0^{+\infty}\int(1+|p|)^3(\partial_x(\varphi w))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx\\
&\leq\int_0^{+\infty}\varphi'(x)\int\partial_x(\varphi w)Lw\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx+\int_0^{+\infty}(\varphi'\varphi''-\varphi\varphi^{(3)})W(x)dx\\
&\leq\parallel\varphi'\parallel_\infty^2\frac{\alpha}{2}\int_0^{+\infty}\int(1+|p|)^3(\partial_x(\varphi w))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx+\frac{1}{2\alpha}\int_0^{+\infty}\int\frac{1}{(1+|p|)^3}(Lw)^2\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx\\
&+\int_0^{+\infty}(\varphi'\varphi''-\varphi\varphi^{(3)})W(x)dx\\
&\leq\parallel\varphi'\parallel_\infty^2\frac{\alpha}{2}\int_0^{+\infty}\int(1+|p|)^3(\partial_x(\varphi w))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx+\frac{c}{2\alpha}\int_0^{+\infty}\int(1+|p|)^3w^2\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx\\
&+\int_0^{+\infty}(\varphi'\varphi''-\varphi\varphi^{(3)})W(x)dx,\quad\alpha>0.
\end{aligned}$$

Choose $\alpha<\frac{\nu_0}{\|\varphi'\|^2_\infty}$. Use (3.10), the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the exponential decay of W expressed in (3.11) in the W-term. It then holds

$$\int_0^{+\infty}\int(1+|p|)^3(\partial_x(\varphi w))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx\leq c,$$

for some positive constant c. Finally,

$$e^{2\eta X}\int(1+|p|)^3w^2(X,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp=2\int_0^X\int(1+|p|)^3(\partial_x(\varphi w))^2\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx\leq c,\quad X>0.\quad(3.13)$$

The exponential decay of $(a,\tilde{b})$ to some limit $(a_\infty,\tilde{b}_\infty)$ when x tends to $+\infty$ can be proved as follows. The solution $\tilde{f}(x,p)=(a(x)(p^2+n)+\tilde{b}(x)p_x)(1+P)+w(x,p)$ is solution to (3.1) iif

$$(a'p_x(p^2+n)+\tilde{b}'p_x^2)(1+P)+p_x\partial_xw=Lw.$$

Multiply the former equation by p_xP (resp. $(p^2+n)P$) and integrate with respect to p, so that

$$(a+\frac{1}{\gamma}\int p_x^2w(\cdot,p)Pdp)'=(\tilde{b}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\int p_x(p^2+n)w(\cdot,p)Pdp)'=0.$$

Denote by

$$a_\infty := a(0) + \frac{1}{\gamma}\int p_x^2 w(0,p)Pdp, \quad \tilde{b}_\infty := \tilde{b}(0) + \frac{1}{\gamma}\int p_x(p^2+n)w(0,p)Pdp.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (3.13),

$$|a(x) - a_\infty| = \tfrac{1}{\gamma}|\textstyle\int p_x^2 w(x,p)Pdp| \leq c\Big(\textstyle\int (1+|p|)^3 w^2(x,p)\tfrac{P}{1+P}dp\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq ce^{-\eta x},$$

$$|\tilde{b}(x) - \tilde{b}_\infty| = \frac{1}{\gamma}|\int p_x(p^2+n)w(x,p)Pdp| \leq c\Big(\int (1+|p|)^3 w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq ce^{-\eta x}. \quad (3.14)$$

By (3.11)

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}\int p_x \tilde{f}^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

By (3.13))

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}\int (1+|p|)^3 w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Using the decomposition $\tilde{f} = (a(p^2+n) + \tilde{b}p_x)(1+P) + w$ of $\tilde{f}$ into its hydrodynamic and non hydrodynamic components and

$$a_\infty = \lim_{x\to+\infty} a(x), \quad \tilde{b}_\infty = \lim_{x\to+\infty}\tilde{b}(x),$$

it follows from (3.15)-(3.16) that

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}\int p_x\Big((a(x)(p^2+n) + \tilde{b}(x)p_x)(1+P)\Big)^2\frac{P}{1+P}dp = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad a_\infty\tilde{b}_\infty = 0.$$

Below this will be improved to (1.10) $\tilde{b}_\infty = 0$. But first we prove the existence of a solution $\tilde{f} \in D$ to the Milne problem (3.1)-(3.2)-(3.3). It will be obtained as the limit when $l \to +\infty$ of the sequence $(\tilde{f}_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ of solutions to the stationary linearized equation on the slab $[0,l]$ with specular reflection at $x = l$, i.e.

$$p_x\partial_x\tilde{f}_l = L\tilde{f}_l, \quad x \in [0,l],\ p_x \in \mathbb{R},\ p_r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\tilde{f}_l(0,p) = \tilde{f}_0(p), \quad p_x > 0, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\tilde{f}_l(l,p_x,p_r) = \tilde{f}_l(l,-p_x,p_r), \quad p_x < 0. \quad (3.19)$$

We switch from given indata and no inhomogeneous term to indata zero and an inhomogeneous term. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Let the subspace $D(A)$ of $L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}((0,l)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+)$ be defined by

$$D(A) = \{g \in L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}((0,l)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+);\ p_x\partial_x g \in L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}((0,l)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+),$$
$$g(0,p) = 0, p_x > 0, \quad g(l,p_x,p_r) = g(l,-p_x,p_r), p_x < 0\}.$$

The operator A defined on $D(A)$ by

$$(Ag)(x,p) = \epsilon g(x,p) + p_x \partial_x g(x,p)$$

is m-accretive since $I - \frac{1}{2\epsilon}A$ is bijective. Indeed, for any $f \in L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3 \frac{P}{1+P}}((0,l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, there is a unique $g \in D(A)$ such that

$$(I - \frac{1}{2\epsilon}A)g = f \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{1}{2}g - \frac{1}{2\epsilon}p_x \partial_x g = f. \tag{3.20}$$

Here g is explicitly given by

$$g(x,p) = -\frac{2\epsilon}{p_x}\int_0^x f(y,p)e^{\epsilon\frac{x-y}{p_x}}dy, \quad p_x > 0,$$
$$g(x,p) = \frac{2\epsilon}{p_x}\Big(\int_0^l f(y,p)e^{\epsilon\frac{x+y-2l}{p_x}}dy + \int_x^l f(y,p)e^{\epsilon\frac{x-y}{p_x}}dy\Big), \quad p_x < 0.$$

It belongs to $L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3 \frac{P}{1+P}}((0,l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ since multiplying (3.20) by $2g(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}$, then integrating on $[0,l] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int g^2(x,p)(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}dxdp + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}\int_{p_x<0}|p_x|(1+|p|)^3 g^2(0,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp \\ = 2\int f(x,p)g(x,p)(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}dp \\ \leq \int f^2(x,p)(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}dxdp + \int g^2(x,p)(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}dxdp.\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from (3.20) that $p_x\partial_x g \in L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3 \frac{P}{1+P}}((0,l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$.
$-L$ is an accretive operator. Hence $A - L$ is m-accretive and there is a unique solution $\tilde{f}_\epsilon \in L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3 \frac{P}{1+P}}((0,l) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ to

$$\epsilon\tilde{f}_\epsilon + p_x\partial_x\tilde{f}_\epsilon = L\tilde{f}_\epsilon, \quad x > 0,\ p_x \in \mathbb{R},\ p_r \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{3.21}$$
$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(0,p) = \tilde{f}_0(p), \quad p_x > 0,$$
$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(l,p_x,p_r) = \tilde{f}_\epsilon(l,-p_x,p_r), \quad p_x < 0.$$

In order to prove that there is a converging subsequence of $(\tilde{f}_\epsilon)$ when ϵ tends to zero, split $\tilde{f}_\epsilon$ into its hydrodynamic and non-hydrodynamic parts as

$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(x,p) = (a_\epsilon(x)(p^2+n) + b_\epsilon(x)p_x)(1+P) + w_\epsilon(x,p),$$

with

$$\int p_x w_\epsilon P dp = \int (p^2+n)w_\epsilon P dp = 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Multiply (3.21) by $\tilde{f}_\epsilon\frac{P}{1+P}$, integrate w.r.t. $(x,p) \in [0,l]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+$ and use the spectral inequality (2.5), so that (w_ϵ) is uniformly bounded in $L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3 \frac{P}{1+P}}([0,l] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$. Notice that the boundary term at l vanishes. And so, up to a subsequence, (w_ϵ) weakly converges in $L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3 \frac{P}{1+P}}([0,l] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+))$ to some function w. Moreover, the same argument as for getting (3.13) can be used here, so that

$$e^{2\eta x}\int (1+|p|)^3 w^2_\epsilon(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp \leq c, \quad x \in [0,l]. \tag{3.23}$$

Expressing $\int_{p_x>0} p_x \tilde{f}_\epsilon(0,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp$ (resp. $\int_{p_x>0} p_x(p^2+n)\tilde{f}_\epsilon(0,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp$) in terms of $a_\epsilon(0)$, $b_\epsilon(0)$ and $w_\epsilon(0,\cdot)$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &a_\epsilon(0)\int_{p_x>0} p_x(p^2+n)Pdp + b_\epsilon(0)\int_{p_x>0} p_x^2 Pdp \\ &= \int_{p_x>0} p_x\tilde{f}_0(p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp - \int_{p_x>0} p_x w_\epsilon(0,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &a_\epsilon(0)\int_{p_x>0} p_x(p^2+n)^2Pdp + b_\epsilon(0)\int_{p_x>0} p_x^2(p^2+n)Pdp \\ &= \int_{p_x>0} p_x(p^2+n)\tilde{f}_0(p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp - \int_{p_x>0} p_x(p^2+n)w_\epsilon(0,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (3.23) taken at $x=0$, it follows that

$$\int_{p_x>0} p_x w_\epsilon(0,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{p_x>0} p_x(p^2+n)w_\epsilon(0,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp$$

are bounded. Consequently, $(a_\epsilon(0), b_\epsilon(0))$ is uniformly bounded with respect to ϵ. Moreover, f_ϵ solves (3.21) iif

$$\epsilon\Big((a_\epsilon(p^2+n)+b_\epsilon p_x)(1+P)+w_\epsilon\Big) + (a'_\epsilon p_x(p^2+n)+b'_\epsilon p_x^2)(1+P) + p_x\partial_x w_\epsilon = Lw_\epsilon. \tag{3.24}$$

Multiplying the previous equation by p_xP (resp. $(p^2+n)P$) and integrating w.r.t. p implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon b_\epsilon \int p_x^2 P(1+P)dp + \gamma a'_\epsilon + \Big(\int p_x^2 w_\epsilon Pdp\Big)' = 0, \\ &\epsilon a_\epsilon \int (p^2+n)^2 P(1+P)dp + \gamma b'_\epsilon + \Big(\int p_x(p^2+n) w_\epsilon Pdp\Big)' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, denoting by

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\int p_x^2 P(1+P)dp} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \sqrt{\int (p^2+n)^2P(1+P)dp},$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma a_\epsilon(x) &= -\int p_x^2 w_\epsilon(x,p)Pdp + (\gamma a_\epsilon(0) + \int p_x^2 w_\epsilon(0,p)Pdp)e^{\frac{\alpha\beta\epsilon}{\gamma}x} \\ &\quad + \epsilon\int_0^x \Big(\int p_x\frac{\alpha^2}{\gamma}(p^2+n)w_\epsilon(y,p)Pdp\Big)e^{\frac{\alpha\beta\epsilon}{\gamma}(x-y)}dy, \quad x\in[0,l], \\ \gamma b_\epsilon(x) &= -\int p_x(p^2+n) w_\epsilon(x,p)Pdp + (\gamma b_\epsilon(0) + \int p_x(p^2+n) w_\epsilon(0,p)Pdp)e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta\epsilon}{\gamma}x} \\ &\quad + \epsilon\int_0^x \Big(\int \frac{\beta^2}{\gamma}p_x^2 w_\epsilon(y,p)Pdp\Big)e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta\epsilon}{\gamma}(x-y)}dy, \quad x\in[0,l]. \end{aligned}$$

Together with the bounds of $(a_\epsilon(0), b_\epsilon(0))$ and (3.23), this implies that (a_ϵ) (resp. (b_ϵ)) is bounded in L^2. And so, up to a subsequence, $\tilde{f}_\epsilon$ weakly converges in $L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}((0,l)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+)$ to a solution $\tilde{f}_l$ of (3.17)-(3.18)-(3.19).

Similar arguments can be used in order to prove that up to a subsequence, $(\tilde{f}_l)$ converges to a solution $\tilde{f}$ of the Milne problem (3.1)-(3.2)-(3.3) when l tends to $+\infty$. Indeed, if $\tilde{f}_l$ admits the decomposition

$$\tilde{f}_l(x,p) = (a_l(x)(p^2+n) + b_l(x)p_x)(1+P) + w_l(x,p),$$

with

$$\int p_x w_l P dp = \int (p^2+n) w_l P dp = 0,$$

then the sequence (w_l) is bounded in $L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+)$ and pointwise in x as in (3.23). And so, up to a subsequence, (w_l) converges weakly in $L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3\frac{P}{1+P}}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+)$ and also weak star in x, weak in p in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_{p_r(1+|p|)^3}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+))$. The sequences (a_l) and (b_l) satisfy

$$\Big(\gamma a_l + \int p_x^2 w_l P dp\Big)' = 0, \quad \Big(\gamma b_l + \int p_x(p^2+n) w_l P dp\Big)' = 0,$$

so that

$$\gamma a_l(x) = -\int p_x^2 w_l(x,p) P dp + \gamma a_l(0) + \int p_x^2 w_l(0,p) P dp,$$
$$\gamma b_l(x) = -\int p_x(p^2+n) w_l(x,p) P dp + \gamma b_l(0) + \int p_x(p^2+n) w_l(0,p) P dp.$$

It follows that the sequences (a_l) and (b_l) are uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}^+$, and so, up to a subsequence, converge weak star in x. The limit of $(\tilde{f}_l)$ is a weak solution to the problem. This weak solution belongs to D.

We can now prove that $\tilde{b}_\infty = 0$. For this we notice that the discussion of this section up to (3.11) included, also holds for $\tilde{f}_l$, W being nonnegative on $[0,l]$ because it is non increasing and vanishes at l. The discussion from (3.12) leading up to (3.15) is valid as well. But for f_l it holds that $\tilde{b}_l(l) = 0$, and so (3.14) taken at $x = l$ leads to $|\tilde{b}_{l\infty}| \le ce^{-\eta l}$.
Take $\beta \ge \alpha \gg 0$. Using (3.14) again implies that for all $l > \beta$,

$$|\tilde{b}_l(x)| \le |\tilde{b}_l(x) - \tilde{b}_{l\infty}| + ce^{-\eta\alpha} \le 2ce^{-\eta\alpha}, \quad x \ge \alpha.$$

It follows that

$$|\tilde{b}(x)| \le 2ce^{-\eta\alpha}, \quad x \ge \alpha.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \tilde{b}(x) = 0 = \tilde{b}_\infty.$$

The uniqueness of the solution of the Milne problem (3.1)-(3.2)-(3.3) can be proven as follows. Let $\tilde{f} \in D$ be solution to the Milne problem (3.1)-(3.2)-(3.3) with zero indatum at $x = 0$ and zero energy flow. Let

$$\tilde{f}(x,p) = a(x)(p^2+n)(1+P) + b(x)p_x(1+P) + w(x,p)$$

be its orthogonal decomposition. By (3.11)

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty} \int p_x \tilde{f}^2(x,p) \frac{P}{1+P} dp = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Multiply the equation

$$p_x \partial_x \tilde{f} = L\tilde{f}, \tag{3.26}$$

by $\tilde{f}\frac{P}{1+P}$, integrate over $]0,+\infty[\times\mathbb{R}^3$ and use the spectral inequality. Then,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{p_x<0} |p_x|\tilde{f}^2(0,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp + \nu_0 \int_0^{+\infty}\int w^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dpdx \leq -\frac{1}{2}\lim_{x\to+\infty}\int p_x\tilde{f}^2(x,p)\frac{P}{1+P}dp$$
$$= 0.$$

And so,

$$\tilde{f}(0,\cdot) = 0, \quad w(\cdot,\cdot) = 0.$$

Equation (3.26) reduces to

$$\partial_x \tilde{f} = 0,$$

so that together with $\tilde{f}(0,\cdot) = 0$, it holds that $a(\cdot) = b(\cdot) = 0$. Hence $\tilde{f}$ is identically zero.

References

[1] L. Arkeryd, A. Nouri, *Bose condensates in interaction with excitations - a kinetic model*, Commun. Math. Phys. 310 Issue 3 765-788 (2012).

[2] L. Arkeryd, A. Nouri, *On the Milne problem and the hydrodynamic limit for a steady Boltzmann equation model*, J. Stat. Phys. 99 993-1019 (2000).

[3] N. Bernhoff, A. V. Bobylev, *Discrete velocity models and dynamic systems*, Lecture Notes on the discretization of the Boltzmann equation, World Sci. Pub. I 203-222 (2003).

[4] C. Bardos, R.E. Caflish, B. Nicolaenko, *The Milne and Kramers problems for the Boltzmann equation of a hard sphere gas*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. Vol XXXIX 323-352 (1986).

[5] C. Bardos, F. Golse, Y. Sone, *Half-space problems for the Boltzmann equation: a survey*, J. Stat. Phys. 124 Issue 2-4 275-300 (2006).

[6] A. V. Bobylev, G. Toscani, *Two-dimensional half space problems for the Broadwell discrete velocity model*, Contin. Mech. Thermodyn. 8 257-274 (1996).

[7] C. Cercignani, *Half-space problems in the kinetic theory of gases*, Lect. Notes Phys. 249 35-51, Springer-Verlag New-York (1987).

[8] F. Coron, F. Golse, C. Sulem, *A classification of well-posed kinetic layer problems*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 41 Issue 4 409-435 (1988).

[9] C. Cercignani, R. Marra, R. Esposito, *The Milne problem with a force term*, Transport Theory and Statistical Physics 27 1-33 (1998).

[10] F. Golse, F. Poupaud, *Stationary solutions of the linearized Boltzmann equation in a half-space*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 11 Issue 4 483-502 (1989).

[11] N. Maslova, *Kramers problems in the kinetic theory of gases*, USSR Comput. Math. Phys. 22 208-219 (1982).

[12] N. Maslova, *Nonlinear evolution equations*, World Scientific, Singapore 1993.

[13] F. Poupaud, *Diffusion approximation of the linear semiconductor equation: analysis of boundary layers*, Asymptotic Analysis 4 293-317 (1991).

[14] Y. Sone, *Kinetic Theory and Fluid Dynamics*, Birkhauser, Boston (2002).

[15] Y. Sone, *Molecular Gas Dynamics*, Birkhauser, Boston (2006).

[16] S. Ukai, T. Yang, S.-H. Yu, *Nonlinear boundary layers of the Boltzmann equation: I. Existence*, Commun. Math. Phys. 236 373-393 (2003).

[17] S. Ukai, T. Yang, S.-H. Yu, *Nonlinear stability of boundary layers of the Boltzmann equation; I. The case* $\mathcal{M}_\infty < -1$, Commun. Math. Phys. 244 99-109 (2003).